

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.upoto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/528,297	03/16	/2005	Guido Ribi	05KAR008	6615
39232 Themis Law	7590	02/03/2009		EXAMINER	
7660 Fay Ave Ste H535 La Jolla, CA 92037				MCKINLEY, CHRISTOPHER BRIAN	
La Jolia, CA	92037			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				3781	
				MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
				02/03/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/528 297 RIBI, GUIDO Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit CHRISTOPHER B. MCKINLEY 3781 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 November 2008. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-4, 13, 17-19 and 22 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) _____ is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are; a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abevance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTC/G5/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date ______

Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

Interview Summary (PTO-413)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date.

6) Other:

Notice of Informal Patent Application

Art Unit: 3781

DETAILED ACTION

Finality of the Office Action

 Applicants request to withdraw the finality of the previous Office Action on the basis that new grounds of rejections where presented is denied. The new grounds of rejection where necessitated by Applicant's amendment of the claims, see MPEP 706.07(a).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1-4, 13 and 17-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Babiol (4,261,475). Babiol discloses the limitations of the claims including a device (figs. 1-3) comprising capsule (1) having closed bottom (1b) and open end (1g), diaphragm (2) having inner (2a) and outer (2b) portions, intermediate position (1c), wherein said diaphragm is stretched (fig. 3), inner diameter (diameter of sealing element 1f), flange (1h), plastic (col. 1, line 41) and a sealing element (1f) physically attached to said closed bottom.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Art Unit: 3781

 The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claim 22 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Babiol as described in par. 3 in view of McBride et al. (5,356,021). Babiol as described in par. 3 discloses the limitations of the claims substantially excluding what McBride et al. teach, retaining shoulders (fig. 1, inherent with pilfer band 26 and threads) and a tear-off line (serrated connection of pilfer band 26 and capsule) thereby providing a tamper-indicating feature. Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify Babiol as described in par. 3 with pilfer band features in order to provide a tamper indicating means.

Response to Arguments

- 6. Applicant's arguments filed 11/19/2008 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant contends Babiol does not teach or suggest that, prior to mating with the rim of the opening, the diaphragm has an outer portion affixed to a perimeter of the open end of the capsule, nor that the inner portion of the diaphragm is spaced from the closed bottom. Examiner disagrees.
- Babiol discloses open end (fig. 1, 1g) that, prior to mating with the rim (inherent since diaphragm must be inserted prior to application onto the container), mates with

Art Unit: 3781

diaphragm (2) having an outer portion (2b) greater than an inner diameter of the capsule (diameter of member 1f).

8. With regard to the "spaced from" limitation, fig. 2 of Babiol depicts the inner portion of the diaphragm (2a) in a mated relationship with the closed end (1b) of the capsule (1). However, such a configuration does not dissatisfy the "spaced from" limitation. It is understood that even apparently smooth mating surfaces have irregularities on a finite level. Said irregularities yield dimpled surfaces that, when disposed in a mated relationship, have infinitesimal spaces therewith. Such a configuration satisfies the "spaced from" limitation. Examiner suggests adding or substituting the language "wherein said hooks are not in contact with one another" or similar language.

Conclusion

- 9. This is a continuation of applicant's earlier Application No. 11/528,297. All claims are drawn to the same invention claimed in the earlier application and could have been finally rejected on the grounds and art of record in the next Office action if they had been entered in the earlier application. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL even though it is a first action in this case. See MPEP § 706.07(b). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).
- 10. A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

Art Unit: 3781

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no, however, event will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

- 11. Applicant is duly reminded that a complete response must satisfy the requirements of 37 C.F. R. 1.111, including: "The reply must present arguments pointing out the specific distinctions believed to render the claims, including any newly presented claims, patentable over any applied references. A general allegation that the claims "define a patentable invention" without specifically pointing out how the language of the claims patentably distinguishes them from the references does not comply with the requirements of this section. Moreover, "The prompt development of a clear Issue requires that the replies of the applicant meet the objections to and rejections of the claims." Applicant should also specifically point out the support for any amendments made to the disclosure. See MPEP 2163.06 and MPEP 714.02. The "disclosure" includes the claims, the specification and the drawings.
- Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the
 examiner should be directed to Christopher B. McKinley whose telephone number is
 (571) 272-3370. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday, 7:00
 AM 5:30 PM.
- If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Anthony Stashick can be reached on (571) 272-4561. The fax phone

Art Unit: 3781

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

14. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/C. B. M./ Examiner, Art Unit 3781

> /Anthony D Stashick/ Anthony D Stashick Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3781